

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/600,788	Applicant(s) CHENEY ET AL.	
	Examiner N. Bhat	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants:(1) N. Bhat(2) G. McGowan**Status of Application:**

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 January 2008**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

None**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**See Continuation Sheet**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested that in step (i), the recitation of a step of rapidly freezing a food composition comprising AFPs" for clarity purposes. The examiner also indicated that claim 17 should not depend from claim 8 but from claim 2. Applicant's representative authorized changes by examiner's amendment.